UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

WESTERN DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-36057- HPN

ANTONIO CALVANESE,

PLAINTIFF

MARK D. AUGUSTA, Individually and in his Official Capacity as a Massachusetts State Trooper,

KEITH E. PANTAZELOS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as a Massachusetts State Trooper,

John Doe 1, Individually and in his Official Capacity as a Massachusetts State Trooper,

John Doe 2, Individually and in his Official Capacity as a Massachusetts State Trooper,

Massachusetts State Police, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

DEFENDANTS

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR A SURVEY TRIAL 5

JURISDICTION

- 1) This is an action under the Federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331 and 1343. The plaintiff further invokes the pendent jurisdiction of this Court to consider the claims arising under state law sounding in tort, the Massachusetts Tort Claims Act, M.G.L. Chapter 258 § 4, the Declaration of Rights of the Massachusetts Constitution, and Massachusetts Civil Rights Act, M.G.L. Chapter 12, Sections 11 H and 11 I.
- 2) There exists between the parties an actual controversy justiciable in nature.

St. Hag Hudge Cf. to Coursel

PARTIES

- 3) The plaintiff, Antonio Calvanese, has at all times relevant to the allegations of this Compliant been a resident of the City of Springfield, Hampden County, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 4) Defendant Mark Augusta, is employed as a Massachusetts State Police Officer who, at the time of the incident which is the subject of this complaint, was believed to be assigned to the Massachusetts State Police Barracks in Concord, County of South Middlesex, Massachusetts (hereinafter "Trooper Augusta").
- 5) Defendant John Doe 1, is employed as a Massachusetts State Police Officer who, at the time of the incident which is the subject of this complaint, was believed to be assigned to the Massachusetts State Police Barracks Troop A-3, County of South Middlesex, Massachusetts (hereinafter "Trooper Doe 1"). John Doe 1 is described as being a white male with red hair.
- 6) Defendant John Doe 2, is employed as a Massachusetts State Police Officer who, at the time of the incident which is the subject of this complaint, was believed to be assigned to the Massachusetts State Police Barracks Troop A-3, County of South Middlesex, Massachusetts (hereinafter "Trooper Doe 2"). John Doe 2 is described as being a dark skinned Hispanic or black male.
- 7) Defendant Keith Pantazelos, is employed as a Massachusetts State Police Officer who, at the time of the incident which is the subject of this complaint, was believed to be assigned to the Massachusetts State Police Barracks Troop A-3, County of South Middlesex, Massachusetts (hereinafter "Trooper Pantazelos").
- 8) Defendant Massachusetts Department of State Police, is an agency that is directed by the Executive Office of Public Safety, and has a headquarters in Fitchberg, County, Massachusetts (hereinafter "Department of State Police").

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 9) At approximately 5:55 P.M. on June 25th 2002, plaintiff, Antonio Calvanese, was traveling on Route 16 in New Hampshire, southbound towards Massachusetts, when defendant Trooper Augusta started to tail gate him and continued on a campaign of road rage and harassment of the plaintiff for almost an hour as the defendant drove his truck behind the plaintiff into Massachusetts where the Plaintiff was stopped by other troopers in marked cruisers.
- 10) Defendant Trooper Pantazelos stopped Plaintiff on Rt 495 with the assistance of Trooper Doe 1, and Trooper Doe 2, who were traveling in their own cruiser.
- 11) Trooper Pantazelos approached the plaintiff and demanded that plaintiff give him his license and registration. In response to plaintiff's request of the reason for the stop Trooper

Pantazelos demanded, "give me your license and registration or you will be arrested".

- 12) Plaintiff produced his documents and was then ordered out of his vehicle by Trooper Pantezelos, at that time Trooper Augusta came to a sliding stop in his truck- just to the side of the marked cruisers. Trooper Augusta, dressed in street cloths, rushed to the plaintiff with his hands balled in fists and pointing his fingers in plaintiff's face shouting, "if I had my gun I would have fucking shot you. I'm a state trooper." Then the three troopers continued to harass plaintiff by interrogating him and illegally searching his vehicle while Trooper Pantezelos wrote plaintiff a citation for:
 - a: speeding, 75 in a 65;
 - b: attaching improper plate; and
 - c: seat belt violation.
- 13) Defendant Trooper Augusta with the support of Trooper Doe 1 & Trooper Doe 2 continued to question the plaintiff as to where he was coming from in New Hampshire and if he was carrying guns or drugs. Upon hearing plaintiff's response, "I was visiting my friends the nuns" Trooper Augusta repeatedly shouted at the plaintiff, "you're a Catholic child molester, you're one of those fucking child molesters." All the time that Trooper Augusta shouted profanity and humiliating religious comments plaintiff cooperated and feared that he was in jeopardy of physical harm.
- 14) Plaintiff pleaded with Trooper Pantezelos to have the other troopers stop their behavior and to have them stop from destroying his car and his personal belongings inside the car.
- 15) Trooper Pantezelos finished writing the citation and walked the plaintiff to his car, and then he instructed the plaintiff to leave. The plaintiff discovered that the two other troopers had destroyed his prescription glasses and bent his car doors, as well as emptied the contents of his glove box, ashtray, and other possessions into the floor of his car while he was being handled by Trooper Pantezelos.
- 16) Plaintiff left the incident feeling humiliated, fearful, and abused. He went directly to the Springfield barracks to complain. At which time he discovered the internal procedures of filing a complaint and followed up on that procedure.
- 17) The plaintiff, whom was already diagnosed with some mental conditions, has been to see his therapist regarding this encounter and his conditions were aggravated by this incident. His vehicle and glasses were both repaired at a financial loss to the Plaintiff.
- 18) Trooper Augusta was disciplined as a result of the plaintiff's complaint, through the State Police Tribunal, but it is not believed that the three other troopers involved in this incident received any disciplinary actions regarding their involvement.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 V. ALL INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

- 19) Plaintiff herby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 18 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 20) By engaging in the conduct described above, the individual defendants' deprived plaintiff of clearly established and well settled constitutional rights while acting under the color of law. Specifically, the defendants deprived the plaintiff of rights secured and guaranteed to him by the United States Constitution including, but not limited to, his Fourth Amendment right to be free from unlawful search and seizure of his person and his Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment rights to due process of law.
- 21) Further, the wrongful acts were undertaken with reckless indifference of Plaintiff's constitutional rights, and include without limitation the following:
 - a) the defendants' conspired to make an illegal motor vehicle stop of the plaintiff following the instructions of Trooper Augusta, then in fact stopped the Plaintiff;
 - b) the defendants' conspired in the illegal interrogation of the plaintiff by assisting one another in the intentional hazing, questioning, intimidating, and harassment of the plaintiff-while Trooper Pantezelos instituted a civil violation against the plaintiff;
 - c) the defendants searched the Plaintiff's automobile without consent, without probable cause, and without a warrant, as well as unlawfully detaining the Plaintiff outside of his automobile in what became an unlawful arrest for a period of time before he was released;
 - d) each of the defendants failed to prevent the other defendant to stop their illegal activity as required by law and by state police policy;
 - e) finally, the defendants caused property damage to the plaintiffs automobile, prescription glasses, and other objects.
- 22) Each of the defendants engaged in a conspiracy to deprive the plaintiff of his rights, privileges and immunities as guaranteed and protected by the Constitution of the United States in violation of the provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 23) The conspiracy included but was not limited to the illegal stop, search, detention, harassment and failure to stop an ongoing violation of the plaintiffs civil rights.
- 24) As a result of the defendant's violations of the Plaintiff's civil rights, he suffered a loss of freedom, property damage, and extreme emotional distress and was otherwise damaged.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 AGAINST COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

- 25) Plaintiff herby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 24 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 26) The Commonwealth, as an entity, through law enforcement officers at the highest level, encouraged aided and abetted the violations of law described above.
- 27) Violations of law described above constituted a "policy" of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In particular, but not limited to, the acts of allowing "off-duty" troopers to engage "on duty" troopers into acting upon their request while in their off-duty status.
- 28) Therefore, the Commonwealth as an entity, should be liable to the plaintiff per 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This count is pleaded per Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 solely to preserve appellate arguments that the Commonwealth should be liable.

COUNT III

VIOLATION OF MASSACHUSETTS CIVIL RIGHTS ACT ALL DEFENDANTS

- 29) Plaintiff herby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 30) By engaging in the conduct described above, including threats, intimidation and coercion, the defendants interfered with an deprived the plaintiff of his exercise and enjoyment of his civil rights secured under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, in violation of M.G.L. c. 12 § 11 I.
- 31) As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' violations of M.G.L. c. 12 § 11 I, plaintiff suffered the injuries described above.

COUNT IV

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AGAINS ALL DEFENDANTS

- 32) Plaintiff herby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 31 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 33) The intentional and grossly reckless actions described above attributable to each defendant, jointly and severally were outrageous and beyond the scope of common decency.
- 34) The intentional and or grossly reckless actions described above attributable to each defendant, caused the plaintiff to suffer great emotional distress.

COUNT V

NEGLIGENCE MASSACHUSSETTS TORT CLAIM

- 35) Plaintiff herby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 34 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 36) The defendant, Department of State Police, is liable to the plaintiff for damages pursuant to M.G.L. c. 258 § 4.

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered on his behalf, and:

- 1) Award appropriate compensatory damages to plaintiff Antonio Calvanese from the defendants jointly and severally in an amount to be determined by the Court;
- 2) Award punitive damages to the plaintiff Antonio Calvanese from Trooper's Augusta, Trooper Pantezelo, Trooper Doe 1, and Trooper Doe 2, in an amount to be determined by the court;
- 3) Award the plaintiff costs, interest and attorney's fees;
- 4) Award such other relief as this Court deems just, equitable and appropriate.

THE PLAINIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL

Dated: February 21, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

The Plaintiff

Joseph K. Chancellor

his Attorney.

BBO: 649597

116 Pleasant Street, Suite 17 Easthampton, MA 01027 Phone: (413) 527-7111

Fax: (413) 527-5444

Case 3:05-cv-30051-KPN Document 1 Filed 02/23/2005 Page 7 of 8 SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS								
Antonio Calvanese	Mark D. Augusta, individually and in his official capacity as a								
(b) County of 1	CD: 17	Hampden		Massachusetts	State Trooper	, Massachu	setts State Po	olice, and	
(b) County of Residen	Massachusetts State Trooper, Massachusetts State Police, and County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.								
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	Attorneys (If Known) Susan Prosnitz, General Counsel, Executive Office of Public Safety, One Ashburton Place, 21st Floor, Boston, MA 02108 (617):27-2200								
Joseph K. Chancellor, 1 Easthampton, MA 0102									
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIVENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL	PARTIES	WIA 02108 (017).27-220	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		· `	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF Citizen of This State DEF Citizen of This State DEF Citizen of This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	n of Another State		f Business In Th	is State	□ ↓ □ 4	
Detendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State				
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T /Diago Street - C -			n or Subject of a eign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Fe	oreign Nation		O 4 O 6	
CONTRACT		nly) DRTS	EART	PETTINE					
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		EITURE/PENALTY 0 Agriculture	BANKR			STAT JTES	
 □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property 	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	□ 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice □ 365 Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability ■ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability ■ PERSONAL PROPER □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETITION □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ■ Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Othe □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition	62	0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws 0 R R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health	PROPERTY 820 Copyrigh 830 Patent 840 Trademar 802 IAL SEC	RIGHTS ts k CURITY 5ff) g (923) WW (405(g)) E VI E S. Plaintiff int) d Party 09	Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/S 810 Selectiv Exchang 875 Custom 12 USC: 890 Other St 891 Agricult 892 Econom 893 Environ 894 Energy A Act 900Appeal of	st and Ban ting serice attion ser Influenced and Organizations ser Credit at TV e Service es/Commodities/ e er Challinge 3410 attutory Actions ural Acts ic Stabilization Admental Matters Allocation Act of Info mation f Fee Determination of Fee Determination and Batters and Acts of Info mation f Fee Determination f Fee Determination of Info mation	
Original D 2 Re	Cite the U.S. Civil Star 42 USC sec 1983	Remanded from Appellate Court tute under which you are 2 1988	4 Reinsta Reopen filing (Do	ed spec not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless	Litigation diversity);	et 🗖 7 Ju	ppeal to District dge frc m agistra e dgmen	
II. REQUESTED IN	uniawiui search	& seizure, unlawtu	ıl arrest	, intentional intli	cation of emo	tional disti	ress		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.		DEM	AND \$	CHEC	YES only if	demanded in co	omplai it	
III. RELATED CASE	(S) (See instructions)	TUDGE			JURY	DEMAND:	-	D No	
ATE	A		11		DOCKET NU	MBER			
02/21/2005 OR OFFICE USE ONLY	Va	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	OF T	RECORD PY					
A OFFICE USE ONLY		1		- www			-		
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1. Title of case (name of first party on each side only)

Aı	ntonio C	alvanes	se v. Mark D. August	a, individually	and in his official ca	apacity as a	Massa	chusetts St	ata Troopor			
2.	Categ 40.1(a	ory in w)(1)).	hich the case belon	gs based upor	the numbered nate	ure of suit co	ode list	ed on the c	ivil cover she	et. (See local rule	
		i.	160, 410, 470,	R.23, REGARD	LESS OF NATURE	OF SUIT.						
	<u>x</u>	II.						700				
	_	III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 380, 385, 450, 891.						040				
	_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 4 690, 810, 861-86	30, 460, 510, 5 65, 870, 871, 87	30, 610, 620, 630, 64 75, 900.	10, 650, 660 <u>,</u>						
		V.	150, 152, 153.									
			er, if any, of related indicate the title and							filed i	n this	
	. 140 и р	TOT ACL	on between the sam	e parties and I	based on the same	claim ever b	een file	ed in this co	ourt?			
-	D 41					YES		NO				
э. ;	92403)	∍ compl	aint in this case que	stion the cons	titutionality of an a	ct of congres	ss affe	cting the pu	blic interest?) (Se	e 28 USC	
I	f so, is t	he U.S.	A. or an officer, ager	nt or employee	of the U.S. a party?	YES		l _{NO}		_		
6. l:	s thin a-					YES		NO	₽			
J. 1	o uno co	ise redu	ired to be heard and	determined b	y a district court of	three judges	s pursu	ant to title	28 USC 82284	47		
						YES		NO				
7. D N	o <u>all</u> of lassach	the part usetts (ies in this action, e "governmental agen	cluding gover cies"), residir	nmental agencies o ng in Massachusetts	of the united S reside in th	states e same	-	— mmonwealth - (See Loca⊦	of Rule	40 1(d))	
						YES	ш	NO	U	. (0.0	+0.1(u <i>))</i> .	
		A.	If yes, in which divi	sion do all of th	e non-governmental	parties reside	e?					
			Eastern Division		Central Division		-,	Most	hama District			
		В.	If no, in which divisi in Massachusetts re	ion do the majo eside?	rity of the plaintiffs or		ties, ex	cluding gove	tern Division ernmental agei	ncies,	residina	
15.6	711		Eastern Division		Central Divisio	n 🔲		18/		Γīν		
se _i	ning a Ni parate si	otice of I neet ider	Removal - are there a ntifying the motions)	iny motions pen	ding in the state cou	rt requiring th	e atten	ition of this C	Court? (If yes,	subm	it a	
	SE TYPE					YES		NO				
	RNEY'S 1			ellor								
DDRE	ess	<u> 116 Plea</u>	sant Street, Suite 17,	 Easthampton	MA 01027							
ELEP	HONE N	O. <u>(4</u>	13) 527-7111		01021							
			·									